

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,499 10/23/2001		George J. Horwath	CE08578R	7385	
22917	7590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER		
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			DOAN, KIET M		
IL01/3RD	ALGONQUIN KOAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SCHAUMBI	JRG, IL 60196	2683	3		
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
Office Action Summary		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/003,4	99	HORWATH ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Kiet Doa	n	2683				
The MAI	LING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE MAILING [- Extensions of time is after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Faiture to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD IN COMMUNITY OF THIS COMMUNIT	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e- munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta- statutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this corns (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)☐ Responsi	ve to communication(s) fil	ed on 10/23/2001.						
•	n is FINAL .	2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)☐ Since this	application is in condition	for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the n	nerits is			
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clai	ms							
4) Claim(s)	1-10 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s)	S) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restri	iction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers	S							
9)☐ The specif	ication is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October 2001</u> is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant r	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replaceme	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath o	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowled	dgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
,	Some * c) None of:			, (-, (,				
· ·	tified copies of the priority	y documents have be	en received.		•			
<u></u>	tified copies of the priority			on No.				
<u>—</u>	pies of the certified copies		, ,		tage			
арр	olication from the Internati	onal Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).					
* See the att	ached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the cert	ified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Referen	ces Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftspe	rson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	.50\			
3) Information Disco Paper No(s)/Mail	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Date <u>10/23/2001</u> .	or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajaniemi (International Pub. No. WO 00/31988) in view of Weaver (Patent No. 5,680,395).

Consider claim 1 and 10, Rajaniemi teaches a method/apparatus of prioritizing a plurality of cells in a neighbor list of a cell in an active set (Page 4, Lines 5-12 teach cell priorities), including when the plurality of cell have been added to the active set (Page 4, Lines 31-38 teach adds new cells to the active set) a predetermined amount of times, prioritizing the plurality of cells in the neighbor list (Page 4, Lines 15-21). However, Rajaniemi teach the invention but fails to teach tracking an amount of times the cell of the plurality of cell is added to the active set; tracking an amount of time any cell of the plurality of cells is added to the active set. In an analogous art, Weaver teaches "Method and apparatus for time division duplex pilot signal generation". Further, Weaver disclosed comprising the steps of: for each cell of the plurality of cells tracking an amount of times (Col 9, lines 55-65, Col 10, Lines 1-15, Col 11, Lines 4-15) the cell of the plurality of cell is added to the active set; tracking an amount of time

Art Unit: 2683

any cell (Fig. 4, Time tracking circuitry)of the plurality of cells is added to the active set.

Therefor, It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, within the Rajaniemi system, time tracking circuitry, as taught by Weaver to modify the system for easy sorting the neighbor list of cell in a communication system.

2. Claims **2**, **3**, **4**, **5**, **6**, **7**, **8**, **9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rajaniemi (International Pub. No. WO 00/31988) in view of Weaver (Patent No. 5,680,395) as applied above, and further in view of Kusaka (Published No. 2001/0026542).

Consider claims 2 and 5, Rajaniemi and Weaver teaches the invention but fail to teach wherein the step of tracking when a cell of the plurality of cells is added to the active set comprises: maintaining a first counter set for the plurality of cells, wherein the first counter set comprises a counter for each of the plurality of cells; and incrementing the counter in the first counter set corresponding to the cell that is added to the active set. In an analogous art, Kusaka teaches "Mobile station for CDMA communication system and method for communication of the mobile station". Further, Kusaka disclosed all the limitation wherein the step of tracking when a cell of the plurality of cells is added to the active set comprises: maintaining a first counter set for the plurality of cells, wherein the first counter set comprises a counter for each of the plurality of cells; and incrementing the

Art Unit: 2683

Counter (Abstract, lines 4-8) in the first counter set corresponding to the cell that is added to the active set (Abstract, Lines 8-14, Page 5, Paragraphs 72, 73, 74).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the in invention was made, within Rajaniemi and Waever system, increment the counter, as taught by Kasaka to modify the system automatically ordering the neighbor list of cell that added to the active set for that created a priority list so the users can communicated without lost connection.

Consider claims 3 and 6, Kasaka further disclosed wherein the step of tracking when any cell of the plurality of cells is added to the active set comprises: maintaining a second counter for the neighbor list; and incrementing the second counter when any cell of the plurality of cells in the neighbor list is added to the active set (Page 2, Paragraphs 15 and 16, Page 3, Paragraph 31).

Consider claim 4, Kasaka further disclosed wherein the step of prioritizing comprises: when the second counter reaches a predetermined value ordering the plurality of cells in the neighbor list from a highest priority to a lowest priority, wherein the cell associated with the counter in the first counter set having a highest value is given the highest priority and the cell associated with the counter in the first counter set having a lowest value is given the lowest priority (Page 2, Paragraphs 19, 20 and 21).

Art Unit: 2683

Consider **claim 7**, Kasaka further disclosed comprising the steps of: determining whether the counter in the active counter set corresponding to the cell that is added to the active set has reached a maximum value; and if the counter has reached the maximum value, incrementing the active neighbor list counter; clearing the standby counter set; clearing the standby neighbor list counter; swapping the active and standby counter sets swapping the active and standby neighbor list counters (Page 3, Paragraphs 33, 34, 40, 41, 42, Page 4, Paragraphs 49 and 57).

Consider claims 8 and 9, Kasaka further disclosed in a communications system wherein user equipment monitors a plurality of cells in a plurality of neighbor lists for inclusion in a monitored set and subsequent promotion to an active set, a method of prioritizing the plurality of cells in the plurality of neighbor lists comprising the steps of: detecting when a new cell has been added to the active set; for each celli already in the active set, determining whether the new cell is included in the neighbor list of celli; if the new cell is included in the neighbor list of celli; determining whether the counter in the first counter set has reached a maximum value; if the counter in the first counter set has not reached the maximum value, incrementing a first neighbor list counter associated with the neighbor list of celli; determining whether the first neighbor list counter has reached a predetermined value; if the first neighbor list counter has reached the predetermined value, prioritizing the plurality of cells in

Art Unit: 2683

the neighbor list of celli; and constructing the monitored set from the plurality of cells in the plurality of neighbor lists (Abstract, Page 1, Paragraph 14. Page 2, Paragraphs 15,16, 17, Page 3, Paragraphs 40, 45, Page 4, Paragraphs 56, 57, 60, 64, 65, 66).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 703-305-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. 'Art Unit: 2683

Kiet Doan 06/21/2004

> WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600